

AMENDED IN SENATE SEPTEMBER 8, 2003

AMENDED IN SENATE AUGUST 18, 2003

AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 3, 2003

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 29, 2003

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 1, 2003

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2003–04 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1631

Introduced by Assembly Member Salinas
(Coauthor: Senator McPherson)

February 21, 2003

An act to amend Section 17071.46 of the Education Code, relating to public schools.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1631, as amended, Salinas. School facilities funding: replacement buildings.

Existing law, the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, requires the State Allocation Board to provide a supplemental grant for 50% of the replacement cost of a single-story building if a school district proposes to demolish the building and replace it with a multistory building on the same site, if certain conditions are met, including, but not limited to, a condition that the school is operating on a multitrack year-round education schedule.

This bill would delete the condition relating to a school operating on a multitrack year-round education schedule from the requirements to

qualify for the supplemental grant *and would provide a method for estimating site acquisition costs savings.*

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 17071.46 of the Education Code is
2 amended to read:

3 17071.46. (a) If an applicant school district proposes to
4 demolish a single-story building and replace it with a multistory
5 building on the same site, the State Allocation Board shall provide
6 a supplemental grant for 50 percent of the replacement cost of the
7 single-story building to be demolished, if all of the following
8 conditions are met:

9 (1) The cost of the demolition and construction of a new
10 multistory building on the same site is less than the total cost of
11 providing a new school facility, including land, on a new site for
12 the additional number of pupils housed as a result of the multistory
13 replacement building on the existing site, as determined by the
14 State Allocation Board. *For purposes of this subdivision, the*
15 *method of estimating the site acquisition costs savings shall be*
16 *based on previous actual site sizes and acquisition costs in the*
17 *district for equivalent numbers of pupils, or as otherwise*
18 *determined by the board if actual site acquisition comparisons are*
19 *not available for the district.*

20 (2) The school district will maximize the increase in pupil
21 capacity on the site when it builds the multistory replacement
22 building, subject to the limits imposed on it pursuant to paragraph
23 (3).

24 (3) The State Department of Education has determined that the
25 demolition of an existing single-story building and replacement
26 with a multistory building at the site is the best available
27 alternative and will not create a school with an inappropriate
28 number of pupils in relation to the size of the site, as determined
29 by the State Department of Education.

30 (b) The State Allocation Board shall establish additional
31 requirements it deems necessary to ensure that the economic



- 1 interests of the state and the educational interests of the children
- 2 of the state are protected.

O

